



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,384	09/27/2006	Angel Palacios		7844

7590 06/24/2008  
Angel Palacios  
Mendez Alvaro 77  
Portal 4 Piso 4B  
Madrid, 28045  
SPAIN

EXAMINER

VU, BAID

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2165

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/24/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/599,384

**Applicant(s)**

PALACIOS, ANGEL

**Examiner**

Bai D. Vu

**Art Unit**

2165

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bai D. Vu.(3) Angel Palacios.(2) Cam Y Truong.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 14 and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: Greef et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant explained the proposed claim amendments and discussed whether the proposed claim amendments could overcome the rejection under 102(b) by Greef et al. reference. Examiner agreed that the proposed claim amendments overcome the rejection under 102(b) by Greef et al. reference. Examiner suggested the applicant to amend claims clearer for further reconsideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Cam Y Truong/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2162

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.